Stage-stratified Analysis of Handgrip Strength and Body Composition of Chronic Kidney Disease Patients

Anjani Bakshi*, Kalyani Singh, Anupa Siddhu

Abstract

Background: Handgrip strength (HGS) is strongly associated with lean muscle mass and can accurately determine nutritional compromised state of chronic kidney disease (CKD) patients at all stages of illness. **Methodology:** In this cross-sectional study, 114 CKD patients from different stages were enrolled. HGS of the patients was measured by Jamar Hydraulic Hand Dynamometer. Body composition for 47 patients was measured by body composition monitor. Stage stratified analysis was done using various statistical tests. **Results:** CKD patients had low HGS at all stages. Patients at Stage 4 had significantly (P < 0.001) lower HGS (19.45 ± 7.09 kg) than patients of stage 2 (25.7 ± 8.53 kg). With one unit increase in age, the value of HGS significantly (P < 0.001) decreased by 6.35 units. Female patients had significantly lower HGS by 21.36 unit, (P < 0.001) at all age groups as compared to males. The value of lean tissue mass (LTM) was significantly (P = 0.03) low at Stage 4 as compared to Stage 2. HGS was positively correlated with LTM (r = 0.65). Muscle strength and muscle mass were strongly related with disease progression. **Conclusion:** Timely assessment of HGS and muscle mass of CKD patients determine nutritional status at early stage of illness.

Keywords: Body composition, Chronic kidney disease, Handgrip strength, Lean tissue mass, Nutritional status

Asian Pac. J. Health Sci., (2023); DOI: 10.21276/apjhs.2023.10.1.09

INTRODUCTION

Handgrip strength (HGS) is an objective measure of upper body strength. Under normal bio kinetic, HGS is determined when maximum force or power applied by the muscle results into forceful flexion of all finger joints.^[1,2] It is a simple and inexpensive bedside test to measure muscle strength.^[3-7] It is directly associated with lean body mass and useful to determine nutritional status of patient population.^[1] Factors such as gender, hand dominance, weight, height, and hand length can influence the grip strength.^[8]

In chronic kidney disease (CKD) patients, uremic symptoms like disturbances in protein and energy metabolism, hormonal derangement, presence of inflammatory cytokines, and poor dietary intake, affect patients' nutritional status, which eventually results in loss of their muscle mass.^[9] Hand grip strength is an important determinant of bone mineral content and hence can be associated with lean tissue mass (LTM) of kidney patients.^[8] HGS has an immense importance as a functional index in CKD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was cross-sectional. Patients were selected from a wellestablished renal outpatient department after ethical committee approval (Ref. No.: TS/MSSH/SKT-2/NEPHRO/IEC/14-35). About 114 CKD patients of different Stages 2, 3a, 3b, four were selected purposively. Informed consent form and certificate of patient participation were obtained from each patient. Patients with age ≥18 years and in CKD Stage 2, 3a, 3b, four were included in the study. Patients with kidney disease after transplant and patients on dialysis were excluded from the study. HGS of the patients was measured by Jamar Hydraulic Hand Dynamometer (U.S.A), model: 5030J1, S/N: 30809187. The instrument was calibrated prior collecting the data. Body composition was measured by body composition monitor (BCM). Only 47 patients (32 males and 15 females) consented for body composition analysis. LTM and adipose tissue mass (ATM) were assessed to understand their relation with HGS. Stage stratified analysis was done using

Department of Food and Nutrition, Lady Irwin College, University of Delhi, New Delhi, India.

Corresponding Author: Dr. Anjani Bakshi, Department of Food and Nutrition, Lady Irwin College, New Delhi, India. E-mail: anjanibakshi04@ gmail.com

How to cite this article: Bakshi A, Singh K, Siddhu A. Stage-stratified Analysis of Handgrip Strength and Body Composition of Chronic Kidney Disease Patients. Asian Pac. J. Health Sci., 2023;10(1):43-45.

Source of support: This work was supported by Junior Research Fellowship (JRF) scheme of the University Grants Commission (UGC- Ref. No. 1200/NET-JUNE 2011).

Conflicts of interest: None

Received: 22/05/2022 Revised: 11/09/2022 Accepted: 15/03/2023

various statistical tests such as Student's t-test, analysis of variance, Pearson's correlation, and multiple linear regression.

Results

Majority of CKD patients were in the age range of 55–65 years. After *post hoc* analysis Bonferroni, significant difference (P = 0.003) in mean age was observed between Stage 2 and Stage 4. Patients in Stage 4 were older as compared to patients in Stage 2. Most of the patients (57.42%) in the present study were diagnosed with CKD in \leq 1 year. Diabetes (56.67%) and hypertension (79.17%) were common comorbidities among CKD patients. Almost 45% of patients had both diabetes as well as hypertension. Among all causative factors, diabetic nephropathy (n = 52) was most common and more prevalent in males than females.

Stage stratified analysis of body composition [Table 1] shows significant difference (P = 0.04) in LTM between all stages. The value of lean mass was significantly (P = 0.03) low at Stage 4 as compared to Stage 2.

Mean HGS of CKD patients was 23.55 ± 8.47 kg. Patients at Stage 4 had mean HGS 19.45 \pm 7.09 kg which was significantly (P < 0.001) lower than patients of Stage 2 with HGS 25.7 \pm 8.53 kg [Table 2].

^{©2023} The Author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

| Variables | | Stages | | | |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------|
| | 2 | За | 3b | 4 | |
| | Mean±SD | Mean±SD | Mean±SD | Mean±SD | |
| LTM ^a | 33.31±4.25 | 37.02±6.03 | 35.1±11.16 | 28.1±6.57 | 0.04* |
| ATM ^b | 47.97±18.92 | 33.99±10.75 | 39.8±17.68 | 43.59±17.45 | 0.30 |

*P-value significant<0.05 (Statistical test: ANOVA), aLTM: Lean tissue mass, bATM: Adipose tissue mass, SD: Standard deviation

Male patients had significantly (P = 0.002) higher HGS (26.5 ± 7.97 kg) as compared to female patients (17.38 ± 5.76 kg) [Table 3]. Regression analysis of HGS and gender showed that the female CKD patients had significantly lower HGS by 21.36 unit, (P < 0.001) as compared to males.

It is well known that muscular strength decreases with age due to imbalance in the nutrient availability, utilization, and restoration.^[2] It was found that the patients of age above 70 years had significantly lower HGS as compared to patients of age <40 years [Table 4]. With one unit increase in age, value of HGS decreased by 6.35 (P < 0.001) [Table 5].

HGS was positively associated with LTM (r = 0.65, P < 0.001) whereas negatively with ATM. Stage stratified analysis showed, significant association (P < 0.001) between LTM and HGS for the patients at Stage 3b and 4 [Table 6]. LTM and HGS were found to be less for higher stage of illness.

DISCUSSION

HGS is a reliable marker of nutritional status of CKD patients. In a population-based, cross-sectional study on patients aged \geq 19 years from the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey in 2014–2017, the prevalence of low HGS was 25.2% in patients with CKD.^[10] The present study observes low HGS in all patients with CKD irrespective of stage of illness, though the most affected patients were in progressive stages. This study clearly indicates significantly poor muscular function of CKD patients at higher stage of illness. Similar findings were reported on non-dialyzed kidney patients where patients with lower HGS also had significantly poor renal outcome.^[11] Another study also indicated low physical function in pre-dialysis CKD patients as the disease progressed according to stage.^[12]

Female patients had significantly less HGS than their male counter parts. Similar to this, comparable relation between HGS and gender was reported where the mean HGS among males was 28.0 ± 9.4 kg, which was significantly higher than female HGS 16.5 ± 6.8 kg.^[11] Aged CKD patients in the present study had lowest HGS. Similar findings were reported in a cross-sectional study conducted in China where HGS peaked at approximately 20–35 years old CKD patients and gradually decreased after the age of 50 years.^[13]

Approximately 60% of total body protein is located in skeletal muscle, that is, the body's primary source of amino acids in response to poor nutritional status.^[14] In CKD, there is imbalance between catabolic and anabolic signals, due to which, loss of muscle mass is evident. LTM is an indirect measure of muscle protein. Any change in LTM indicates change in body muscle and somatic protein mass and hence determine nutritional compromised state.^[15] In a longitudinal study, incident dialysis patients were prospectively followed up to 5 years. These patients were malnourished with low muscle strength and higher mortality rates.^[16] Another study on chronic renal failure patients

| Table 2: Stage stratified analysis of handgrip strength (n=114) | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| CKD Stages | Handgrip strength in kg | P-value | | | |
| | Mean±SD | | | | |
| Total (n=114) | 23.55±8.47 | | | | |
| Stage 2 (<i>n</i> =21) | 25.7±8.53 | < 0.001** | | | |
| Stage 3a (<i>n</i> =26) | 27.27±7.27 | | | | |
| Stage 3b (<i>n</i> =32) | 23.57±9.11 | | | | |
| Stage 4 (n=35) | 19.45±7.09 | | | | |

**P-value significant<0.01 (Statistical test: ANOVA), SD: Standard deviation

 Table 3: Gender and stage stratified analysis of handgrip strength

| (<i>n</i> =114) | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------|----------|--|--|
| CKD Stages | Handgrips | P-value | | | |
| | Male (n=77) Female (n=37) | | | | |
| | Mean±SE | Mean±SE | | | |
| Total (n=114) | 26.5±7.97 | 17.38±5.76 | 0.002** | | |
| Stage 2 (<i>n</i> =21) | 27.18±9.52 | 22.10±3.89 | 0.23 | | |
| Stage 3a (<i>n</i> =26) | 28.70±7.21 | 22.51±5.62 | 0.07 | | |
| Stage 3b (<i>n</i> =32) | 26.74±8.53 | 16.59±6.12 | 0.002** | | |
| Stage 4 (<i>n</i> =35) | 23.56±6.37 | 13.96±3.28 | <0.001** | | |

**P-value significant<0.01 (Statistical test: ANOVA), SE: Standard error

Table 4: Gender and age stratified analysis of handgrip strength

| (<i>n</i> =114) | | | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------|---------------|----------|--|--|--|
| Age | Handgrip stren | P-value | | | | |
| | Male (n=77) | Female (n=37) | | | | |
| | Mean±SE | Mean±SE | | | | |
| <40 years | 31.86±2.91 | 23.96±1.66 | 0.04* | | | |
| 40–49 years | 36.20±2.36 | 16.97±2.26 | <0.001** | | | |
| 50–59 years | 24.86±1.48 | 16.21±1.20 | 0.003** | | | |
| 60–69 years | 25.12±1.60 | 13.78±1.50 | <0.001** | | | |
| 70 years and above | 21.07±1.89 | 12.76±1.34 | 0.04* | | | |

*P-value significant <0.05, **P value significant <0.01 (Statistical test: ANOVA), SE: Standard error

Table 5: Regression model of handgrip strength, age and gender

| Dependent | Independent | Coefficient | SE | P-value |
|-----------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------|---------|
| variable | variables | | | |
| Handgrip | Age ^a | -6.35 | 1.165 | <0.001* |
| strength | | | | |
| | Gender | -21.36 | 2.821 | <0.001* |
| | (Female vs. Male) ^b | | | |

*P-value significant <0.01, ^aWith one unit increase in age, value of handgrip strength decreased by 6.35 (P<0.001), ^bHand grip strength was significantly less among female than male patients by 21.36 unit, P<0.001, SE: Standard error

reported HGS and its strong correlation to LBM in both men and women.^[9] Association of HGS with LTM explains the analogy between improvement in physical function and correction of renal function.

There are few limitations noted in the study. First, sample size was comparatively small. Second, there is paucity in Indian data

| Table 6: Correlation of handgrip strength with lean tissue mass and adipose tissue mass | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|------|----------|--|
| Variables | iriables Stage 2 | | Stage 3a | | Stage 3b | | S | Stage 4 | |
| | r | P-value | R | P-value | r | P-value | r | P-value | |
| LTM ^a | -0.20 | 0.61 | 0.18 | 0.63 | 0.73 | 0.003** | 0.80 | <0.001** | |
| ATM ^b | -0.38 | 0.31 | 0.24 | 0.50 | -0.16 | 0.59 | 0.01 | 0.97 | |

**P-value significant <0.01, Statistical test: Pearson's correlation (r), ^aLTM: Lean tissue mass, ^bATM: Adipose tissue mass

on CKD patients to compare the findings. Third, HGS is dependent on many factors other than age, gender, and reduced muscle mass. These are hand dominance, fatigue, time of day, restricted motion, pain, motivation, body position, and elbow position.^[1,5,7] The present study strongly recommends to examine these factors while measuring HGS in patient population for a homogenous sample.

CONCLUSION

Right and timely management is crucial in restoration of muscle mass and thus HGS of CKD patients. At least strategies and goal of maintenance of HGS would ensure slow progression of disease. In conclusion, HGS is an important indicator to assess nutritional compromised state of CKD patients. Maintaining muscle mass seems to be both a goal and indicator of determining nutritional status of CKD patients.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Special thanks to the Lady Irwin College and Max Super Specialty Hospital for providing ethical clearance to the study. We appreciate Fresenius Medical Care for providing us permission to use BCM in this study. We would like to extend our thanks to University grant commission for providing us funds for conducting this study.

COPYRIGHT AND PERMISSION STATEMENT

I/We confirm that the materials included in this chapter do not violate copyright laws. All original sources have been appropriately acknowledged and/or referenced.

REFERENCES

- TNC-CDAAR. Hand Grip Strength Protocol. Boston, MA,USA: Tufts University Nutrition Collaborative. Center for Drug abuse and AIDS Research; 2003. p. 1-2.
- 2. Jakobsen LH, Rask IK, Kondrup J. Validation of handgrip strength and endurance as a measure of physical function and quality of life in healthy subjects and patients. Nutrition 2010;26:542-50.

- Chumlea WC, Guo SS, Zeller CM, Reo NV, Baumgartner RN, Garry PJ, et al. Total body water reference values and prediction equations for adults. Kidney Int 2001;59:2250-8.
- 4. Klidjian AM, Archer TJ, Foster KJ, Karran SJ. Detection of dangerous malnutrition. JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr 1982;6:119-21.
- Mathiowetz V, Kashman N, Volland G, Weber K, Dowe M, Rogers S. Grip and pinch strength: Normative data for adults. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1985;66:69-74.
- Rebouche CJ, Pearson GA, Serfass RE, Roth CW, Finley JW. Evaluation of nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy for determination of deuterium abundance in body fluids: Application to measurement of total-body water in human infants. Am J Clin Nutr 1987;45:373-80.
- 7. Teraoka T. Studies on the peculiarity of grip strength in relation to body positions and aging. Kobe J Med Sci 1979;25:1-17.
- Koley S, Singh AP. Effect of hand dominance in grip strength in collegiate population of Amritsar, Punjab, India. Anthropologist 2010;12:13-6.
- 9. Heimbürger O, Qureshi AR, Blaner WS, Berglund L, Stenvinkel P. Handgrip muscle strength, lean body mass, and plasma proteins as markers of nutritional status in patients with chronic renal failure close to start of dialysis therapy. Am J Kidney Dis 2000;36:1213-25.
- Lee YL, Jin H, Lim JY, Lee SY. Relationship between low handgrip strength and chronic kidney disease: KNHANES 2014-2017. J Ren Nutr 2021;31:57-63.
- 11. Chang YT, Wu HL, Guo HR, Cheng YY, Tseng CC, Wang MC, *et al.* Handgrip strength is an independent predictor of renal outcomes in patients with chronic kidney diseases. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2011;26:3588-95.
- Hiraki K, Yasuda T, Hotta C, Izawa KP, Morio Y, Watanabe S, *et al.* Decreased physical function in pre-dialysis patients with chronic kidney disease. Clin Exp Nephrol 2013;17:225-31.
- 13. Cheng Y, Liu M, Liu Y, Xu H, Chen X, Zheng H, *et al.* Chronic kidney disease: Prevalence and association with handgrip strength in a cross-sectional study. BMC Nephrol 2021;22:246.
- 14. Clinical practice guidelines for nutrition in chronic renal failure. K/ DOQI, National Kidney Foundation. Am J Kidney Dis 2000;35:S1-40.
- Clinical practice guidelines for nutrition in chronic renal failure. K/DOQI, National Kidney Foundation. Am J Kidney Dis 2000;35 Suppl 2:S34-5.
- Isoyama N, Qureshi AR, Avesani CM, Lindholm B, Bàràny P, Heimbürger O, et al. Comparative associations of muscle mass and muscle strength with mortality in dialysis patients. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2014;9:1720-8.