Evaluation of association between TGF-β3 gene variant and non syndromic clefting
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ABSTRACT

Context: Non Syndromic Cleft lip/Palate is a congenital anomaly with significant medical, psychological and social ramifications. There is sufficient evidence to hypothesize that locus for this condition can be identified by candidate genes. Aims: To amplify the chosen region TGF-β3 gene, investigate the degree of association and perform a mutation research from raichur cleft lip and palate patient sample. Settings and Design: Case history and clinical examination of the patient were recorded to rule. Written consent was obtained from patients and controls for in vivo study. Study was designed in 4 steps.

Methods and Material: Blood samples were collected from 40 subjects having Non Syndromic Cleft lip/Palate and 40 controls. Genomic DNA was extracted. Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) and The obtained fragments were sequenced and TGF-β3 gene polymorphisms were assessed based on the number of CA repeats. Statistical analysis: Chi- square test with P value at 95 % confidence intervals. Results: Results showed a significant difference in the number of CA repeats between the case and the control groups (p=0.01). Conclusions: From a genetically diverse etiology TGF-β3 gene variant may be a good screening marker for Non Syndromic Cleft lip/Palate in Raichur Patients.
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Introduction

The development of the head and face is one of the most complex and tightly controlled events during embryonic development. Disturbances during the period critical for the formation of face (7-10 weeks for humans) may lead to orofacial clefts[1].

Non-syndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate (NSCL/CLP) is the most common craniofacial anomaly. Its birth prevalence ranges from 1/500 to 1/2000, depending on geographical origin. Approximately two thirds of the cases are not accompanied by other anomalies and are called non syndromic (NS) [2].

It is very likely that both genetic and environmental factors contribute to this malformation. Genetic factors contribute approximately 30% towards cleft lip and palate whereas environmental factors are the major contributors approximately 70% of cleft lip and palate. It has been reported that Cleft lip and palate occurs more frequently in males, while the sex bias is reversed for Cleft palate, which is more common in females[3]. The etiology seems complex, but genetics plays a major role.

Over the past decade, genetic linkage and association analyses have provided evidence to support the involvement of several genes and chromosomal regions, among them loci at 1q32 (IRF6), 2p13 (TGFA), 4p16 (MSX1), 6p23-25, 14q24 (TGFB3), 17q21 (RARA), and 19q13 (BCL3, TGFB1) have the most supporting data[4].

Transforming Growth Factor-Beta 3 (TGF-β3) is one of the strongest candidate gene for cleft lip and palate
TGF-β3 (located on 14q24) has a broad spectrum of biological activities and is known to induce palatal fusion and in recent years a large number of studies have been conducted to elucidate the relationship of TGF-β3 and cleft lip and palate. As none of the studies included patients of the Indian subcontinent who are genetically distinct from the other study populations, this study was done to detect the presence of TGF-β3 gene polymorphisms in non-syndromic cleft lip and palate in Indian patients.

The advents of molecular biology and advanced genetic techniques have allowed uncovering, characterizing and ultimately manipulating the genes that make up the genome. It is becoming clear that the molecular centre of embryonic morphology resides at the level of gene.

Material and methods

Patient samples

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Medical College Hospital. Forty patients with non-syndromic cleft lip with cleft palate were selected and informed consent was obtained. Subjects with known teratogenic exposure and other recognized syndromes as well as children with other major or multiple minor defects and/or developmental delay as determined from the demographic details, perinatal history, teratogenic exposure and family history were excluded. Forty unaffected individuals from the same geographic area who had no craniofacial anomaly or other congenital disease and no family history of craniofacial malformation were included in the study as controls. Peripheral blood sample (10 ml) was collected by venipuncture from all cases.

DNA extraction and amplification

A modified protocol for standard organic extraction method was used for DNA extraction from lymphocytes. Polymerase chain reactions were performed to amplify the extracted DNA samples and assessed for the allelic variants using specific primers for TGF-β3 gene.

TGF-β3 primers

| Sense (Forward) primer: AGATTCTGGCTTCCACGAAA |
| Antisense (reverse) primer: GCAAGCAGGGATAATACAGCA. |

After completion of the PCR reaction, an agarose gel was run to identify amplified PCR products. These fragments were then cut out and subjected to sequencing PCR using the ABI prism Big Dye Terminator Cycle sequencing ready reaction kit version 3.1. The product obtained from sequencing PCR was subjected to isopropanol precipitation to remove protein and other contaminants and then sent for sequencing.

Analysis of sequencing results

The TGF-β3 gene has a CA repeat sequence, and the polymorphism is dependent on the frequency of CA repeats. The sequences of the amplified DNA fragments of TGF-β3 gene were analyzed using Bioedit sequence analyzer software.

Statistical procedure used

Chi-square test was used to compare the case and control groups.

Results

The number of CA repeats in the DNA sequence of the cases was compared with the number of CA repeats in controls; 23 out of a total of 40 samples from the case group showed 10 CA repeats, whereas 14 of them had 9 CA repeats and 3 samples had 6 CA repeats. In the control group 29 out of 40 samples had 9 CA repeats and only 11 had 10 CA repeats (Table 1). The significance of the results obtained was statistically analyzed using chi-square test. A chi-square value of > 5.99 and a ‘p’ value of <0.05 is considered statistically significant. The chi-square calculated with our data was 12.446 with a corresponding p value of 0.01. Thus, we observed that the difference between the Non-syndromic CLCP cases and the control group in terms of number of CA repeats representing the TGF-β3 polymorphisms is highly significant.

| Table 1: Results of TGF-β3 Polymorphisms as determined by CA repeats in samples |
TGF-beta 3 Polymorphisms | Controls | Subjects (Non-syndromic CLCP)
---|---|---
9 CA repeats | 29 | 14
9 CA repeats | 11 | 23
Others | 0 | 3
Total Cases | 40 | 40

Discussion

The development of orofacial region relies on the interplay of a vast range of genetic and epigenetic factors [10]. When these factors are disrupted either at the gene level, due to mutations or by disruption of epigenetic regulation by environmental teratogens could lead to orofacial clefting. Patients with orofacial clefts require surgical, nutritional, dental, speech, medical and behavioral interventions and impose a substantial emotional and economic burden on the society. These issues, along with the relatively high prevalence of orofacial clefts, emphasize the importance of understanding the underlying causes of these defects. Most orofacial clefts are caused by the interaction between genetic and environmental factors [11]. Genetic factors create susceptibility for clefts, and when environmental factors (ie, triggers) interact with a genetically susceptible genotype, a cleft develops during an early stage of development [12]. The organisation of the facial structures requires tissues to proliferate, fuse and differentiate. Palatogenesis involves fusion of the medial edge epithelium (MEE) of the approximating palatal shelves with each other via numerous desmosome contacts to form a midline palatal seam [13,14]. This seam rapidly degenerates allowing mesenchymal cells to flow across the now intact horizontal palate. TGF-beta 3 is found in the epithelial component of the shelves and in the medial edge epithelium (MEE). TGF-beta 3 plays a crucial role in these initial adhesive interactions [15]. TGF-beta 3 knock out mouse exhibits cleft palate through failure of palatal shelf fusion [16]. Although the palatal shelves otherwise develop normally, they show a marked reduction in the filopodia and show down-regulation of condroitin sulphate proteoglycan on the apical surface of the MEE [17,18], both of which are required for efficient MEE adhesion [19,20].

A large number of studies [21-24] have been carried out to elucidate the role of TGF-beta 3 gene polymorphisms in the etiopathogenesis of cleft lip and palate of which some studies, such as that of Vieira AR et al [5] on south American population and Lidral AC et al [22] on Philippine population, have yielded positive associations, while a few studies did not show any significant association, such as those of Tanabe A et al [23] and Jugessur A et al [24] conducted on Japanese and Norwegian population respectively. The prevalence of orofacial clefts is more common among Indians and oriental populations, where it occurs in 1 every 500 births or higher [25]. Inspite of this, there has been no major study thus far on Indian patients with non-syndromic CLCP. Our study was, hence, the first report on polymorphism in TGF-beta 3 gene in patients with non-syndromic cleft lip and palate of Indian origin. This study could provide a basis for more elaborate studies involving larger number of samples that can explore the specific role of TGF-beta 3 gene in more detail in this population.

The general failure to pinpoint the precise molecular events that lead to human cleft lip and palate most likely stems from our lack of knowledge about the gene networks and regulation of gene expression during palatal development. While studies such as ours are related to individual gene events, they could help by contributing to the existing scientific knowledge of inter-related gene networks, ultimately leading to a better understanding of the pathogenesis of cleft lip and palate. In addition to playing an important role in the development of palate, TGF-beta 3 also has some therapeutic possibilities: exogenous TGF3 can correct the palatal fusion defect in TGF-beta 3-null embryos, raising the possibility of exogenous supplementation of TGF-beta 3 as fetal therapy. Rather than a surgical approach, it is known that maternally-administered recombinant TGF-beta 3 can cross the mouse placental barrier. Exogenous TGF-beta 3 is also known to reduce the severity of scarring following wound healing in rats [26], raising the possibility that some individuals with cleft palate caused by genetic abnormalities in the TGF-beta 3 pathway might be more prone to excessive scarring.
following surgical correction, thereby compounding an otherwise distressing abnormality. Exogenous application of TGF-β3 as an anti-scarring therapy at the time of surgical correction of the cleft may also be particularly beneficial to such individuals.

In summary, our study highlights the role for TGF-β3 in non-syndromic cleft lip and palate patients from the Indian sub-continent and points out a potential therapeutic intervention to correct the malady.

Conclusion

This study indicates that there is strong association between the presence of TGF-β3 gene variant with the incidence of non-syndromic cleft lip and palate and it may act as a genetic marker for non-syndromic cleft lip and palate in this population.

Studies about such genetic markers of non-syndromic cleft lip and palate will help us to predict and target at the molecular level in treating such problems. A major challenge is not only a complete cataloguing of all such genes involved in the etiology of non-syndromal cleft lip palate but identification of their polygenetic nature to have a thorough understanding of the craniofacial development. The future challenge is to bring this new knowledge from the “level of PCR sequencer into the development. The future challenge is to bring this new information to treat such problems.
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